INVESTIGATION REPORT

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Department of Cannabis Control
CALIFORNIA

CASE INFORMATION

Case Number DCC25-0000753-INV	Date Received April 4, 2025
License Number C11-0000305-LIC	Legal Business Name of Licensee or Unlicensed Party Euphoric Life, Inc.
DBA Euphoric Life	Premises Address 807 Industrial Place Hollister, CA. 95023
Business Phone Number 408-315-4062	Author's Name Ruby Ocegueda
Date of Incident April 29, 2025	Location of Incident 807 Industrial Place Hollister, CA. 95023

DESIGNATED RESPONSIBLE PARTY (OWNER) OR UNLICENSED PERSON(S)

Name *(First, Middle, Last)* Ahmad Rafii	Title Business Owner
Address *(include street, city, state, and zip code)* 807 Industrial Place Hollister, CA. 95023	
E-mail Address info@euphoriclife.com	Phone Number 408-315-4062

Miscellaneous Information

Euphoric Life Inc. also operates commercial cannabis manufacturing (License No. DCC-10003356) at the same address as its distribution license.

SUMMARY

On April 4, 2025, I, Department of Cannabis Control (DCC) Special Investigator (SI) Ruby Ocegueda, was assigned to investigation (DCC25-0000753-INV) which alleged that a licensee of Euphoric Life, Inc. ("Licensee") (C11-0000305-LIC), engaged in non-compliant sales outside of California Cannabis Track and Trace (CCTT) METRC as well as inverting non-compliant cannabis product into the licensed premises.

On April 29, 2025, I, alongside other DCC representatives conducted a regulatory compliance inspection of the Licensee's distribution premises located at 807 Industrial Place Hollister, CA. 95023 ("Distribution Premises") to gather more information and address the complaint allegations. During the investigation, DCC staff observed multiple regulatory compliance issues including cannabis and cannabis products that lacked METRC tags and Unique Identification (UID) information, prohibited cannabis products, cannabis products that did not match their corresponding Certificates of Analysis (COAs), and unfinished manufactured product being stored on the Distribution Premises. DCC staff subsequently conducted an embargo of the non-compliant cannabis and cannabis products.

Following the inspection, on April 30, 2025, I requested records from the Licensee. Upon reviewing the records submitted by the Licensee, DCC staff discovered other violations including that unauthorized individuals were accessing the Distribution Premises, and that the Licensee was using unauthorized vehicles for outbound transfers from the Distribution Premises. Further, the Licensee failed to make records readily available to the DCC upon request.

BACKGROUND

Euphoric Life, Inc. holds an annual distribution license (C11-0000305-LIC) that expires on June 9, 2026.

On August 27, 2024, the Licensee was served with a Notice to Comply (NTC) that addressed multiple regulatory violations observed during a regulatory compliance inspection by DCC staff on August 9, 2024. Some of the violations included in the NTC were for lack of inventory reconciliation, noncompliant packaging and labeling, as well as storage issues of manufactured product in the distribution license premises area.

CASE NARRATIVE

On April 4, 2025, I, was assigned to investigate allegations that the Licensee engaged in unauthorized sales of cannabis goods outside of the track and trace system and was also accepting non-compliant cannabis product into its licensed premises**.**

On April 29, 2025, at approximately 1035 hours, Assistant Branch Chief (ABC) Juan Ordaz (Ordaz), SI Jorge Avila (Avila), Environmental Scientists (ES) Nolan Avery (Avery), ES Long Lai (Lai) and CCTT METRC Associate Governmental Program Analyst (AGPA) Rachel Genus (Genus) and I arrived at the Licensee's Distribution Premises for a regulatory compliance inspection and to investigate the allegations of unauthorized sales of cannabis goods and unauthorized acceptance of non-compliant cannabis products. We were met by an individual who identified himself as John Calzada (Calzada). Calzada introduced himself as a "volunteer" and a "consultant" who was currently helping with managing the Licensee's inventory. He confirmed multiple times that he was not an employee of the Licensee and that he was just "helping out" as he had recently been terminated from his previous employer, Lowell Farms. Calzada was identified by his California Driver's License (CDL) **(Attachment A)** and confirmed he did not have an employee badge from the Licensee. I introduced myself and the rest of the DCC personnel to Calzada by providing him view of my state issued credentials. I explained that my role was to inspect the Licensee Distribution Premises and address allegations of noncompliant activity. Calzada stated there were no managers on site at that time but that he would contact them. I did not see Calzada make the call to the manager, but when he had questions, he said that the manager would be coming to answer. After introductions, I asked Calzada to walk us to the distribution area of the licensed premises. The distribution area Calzada walked matched the most recent premises diagram on file with the DCC (**Attachment B)**.

I, ABC Ordaz, and SI Avila inspected an area of the distribution premises where the Licensee kept freezers of cannabis and cannabis products. We observed that the area where the freezers were located was dark with the lights turned off. We initially used flashlights on our state issued phones to see and observe the area as Calzada was not familiar with the layout and could not find the light switch (**Attachment C**). Calzada eventually found the light switch and turned the lights on in the area. I explained to Calzada that the video surveillance camera should not be obstructed by lack of lighting. I asked if Calzada had access to the video surveillance system and he stated that he did not.

As we inspected the freezers, we observed that the freezers did not have blue CCTT METRC tags on the outside of the freezer door. Further, we observed the fresh frozen cannabis flower inside the freezers was placed inside clear bags that were not tagged with blue CCTT METRC tags (**Attachment D**). Some of the bags had some markings on them, such as weight and strain name markings. The other bags had no markings on them of any kind. We observed a total of eight (8) freezers with multiple clear bags of fresh frozen cannabis that were inside black trash bags and lacked blue CCTT METRC tags. DCC staff also observed a ninth freezer in the area that also lacked blue METRC tags and contained unfinished manufactured cannabis product inside glass jars with no UID or METRC information affixed (**Attachment E**). Calzada was present while we inspected the freezers and discussed our observations with him. Calzada stated that the issues identified by DCC staff was what he was there to help with and that he understood the items identified were non-compliant. Calzada did not offer any information as to where the blue METRC tags were located or why they were not attached as required.

While we inspected the freezers in the distribution area, ES Lai and ES Avery notified me that they had observed multiple boxed packages with non-compliant product inside near the entrance of the distribution area. The product was identified by the packaging as "Live Oro Dreamzzz Shot 10mg CBN 10 mg THC, UID 1A4060300003F00000003758" (Live Oro Dreamzzz) (**Attachment F**). ES Lai explained to me that this product had been recently subject to a voluntary condemnation and destruction (VC&D) at a different licensed premises because it was found to be non-complaint due to containing melatonin which was not a permitted ingredient. At this time, an individual entered the distribution area and identified himself as Jose Garcia (Garcia). Garcia stated that he was a manager with "Nebula" and was not an employee of the Licensee. Garcia was identified by his CDL (**Attachment G**). I asked Garcia for the DCC license number for Nebula, but Garcia did not appear to know the number and could not provide it. When I questioned his relationship to the Licensee and the licensed premises, Garcia stated that he did "co-packing" and that he did not do any driving for the Licensee. ES Lai and ES Avery then informed Garcia of their observations of the Live Oro Dreamzzz products and explained that the products were non-compliant. Garcia stated that to his knowledge the products had been remediated. AGPA Genus reviewed METRC and the ingredients listed on the product packaging and confirmed the product is still determined as non-compliant and should not be distributed.

At this time, I and ABC Ordaz requested to move to the upstairs area of the distribution premises where Garcia stated more product was stored. While observing the area upstairs, manager Michael Sweeny (Sweeny), DCC Supervising Special Investigator (SSI) Nephtali Lopez (Lopez) and SI Rene Mancinas-Pena (Mancinas-Pena), also arrived at the licensed premises. Upstairs, I observed packages of purple labeled "Woodstock" pre-rolls that did not have UID labels attached to each unit and package (**Attachment H**). There were other units of "Woodstock" brand pre-rolls that did have UIDs attached. However, the units I physically observed on site looked different than the product photos on the COAs corresponding to the UID numbers. In some cases, the pre-roll packaging that showed in the COA in METRC were a different color. Other pre-rolls on the COA, showed a cylinder tube that was thinner than the cylinders that were on site. I asked Sweeny if he had access to the video surveillance cameras or if he knew how to operate the equipment to show us footage. He said he did not think so, but that he could try to access the footage.

At approximately 1210 hours, one of the Licensee's owners, Aiden Rafii (Rafii), arrived at the licensed premises while manager Sweeny tried to access video surveillance records through a computer in the manufacturing area. I introduced myself and immediately explained the reason for the visit. I explained to Rafii that we had observed multiple violations and that I would be reviewing those with him. I explained that I need to review the Licensee's video surveillance footage, but none of the individuals we've met at the licensed premises so far had access to the video surveillance system. I requested that Rafii show me video surveillance footage of a transfer that occurred the previous day, April 28, 2025, as I wanted to observe the employee and the vehicle that was used. After approximately 20 minutes, Rafii, accessed footage on his phone but stated he could not quite locate the exact timeframe I was requesting. By this time, we had been at the facility for approximately two hours. In the interest of time management, I explained to Rafii that I would be requesting video surveillance records via email and that it was important that he provide all requested records to assist in me. I explained to Rafii that we had been at the licensed premises for over 1.5 hours waiting for someone to arrive and give us access to the video surveillance footage. I asked him what the contingency plan was in situations where he is not available to provide access to the video surveillance system. Rafii stated that no one else other than him had access to the surveillance system and he did not plan to give anyone else on his staff access because of fear of theft and video surveillance manipulation. I explained to Rafii that per the DCC's regulations, a licensee must ensure that video surveillance records and other pertinent licensed premises records are readily available for the DCC to inspect upon request. I stated that waiting two hours to access surveillance footage was not ideal or in accordance with the requirement. Rafii reiterated that he would not be providing the ability to access the video surveillance system to anyone else.

I then asked Rafii a series of questions to help gather information. I asked if all the vehicles that were used for the Licensee's distribution activities were owned or leased by either Rafii or the Licensee. Rafii stated that some of the vehicles used for transfers were not owned by him or the Licensee. I asked how many vehicles were owned or leased by the Licensee and Rafii stated, "about 3 to 4 of the vehicles". I explained that during my review of the Licensee's CCTT METRC data, I observed approximately 12 different vehicles that were used for transfers conducted by the Licensee. I explained that per DCC regulations, the vehicles used for distribution activities must be owned or leased by the Licensee. Rafii stated he did not know this and again confirmed that some of the vehicles were not owned by the Licensee. Rafii made no mention indicating that any of the vehicles were ever leased by the Licensee.

I asked Rafii about the allegations that the Licensee was making sales outside of METRC and accepting non-compliant cannabis goods. Rafii responded that the allegations were not true; the Licensee used a system to ensure that buyers were legitimate cannabis license holders, including requiring potential buyers to complete forms . Rafii stated that he believed that an individual by the name Ann Lawrence, who he stated is the associated with another licensee, Lowell Farms, was responsible for the allegations because the Licensee recently initiated a lawsuit against Lawrence over a financial business dispute that Rafii stated involved Lawrence not paying the Licensee money that Licensee claims Lawrence owes. I explained that I could not confirm or deny the source of the allegations and that I was only there to investigate the claims. I explained that although this process could be difficult for licensees, that it was important that the Licensee cooperates and provides the information that was requested. I also explained that although we were there to investigate the allegations, we had also observed various other violations that would have to be addressed.

We then moved between the upstairs area and the bottom distribution area and continued to review our observations with Rafii. We discussed with Rafii the untagged frozen fresh cannabis found in the freezers, the unfinished manufactured product in the distribution area, the Woodstock pre-rolls that lacked UID and Woodstock pre-rolls that did not match the COAs, as well as the Live Oro Dreamzzz shot product that was previously identified as non-compliant. I also discussed the individuals who were previously identified as John Calzado and Jose Garcia. ABC Ordaz then explained to Rafii that these individuals had identified themselves upon our arrival as volunteers and/or consultants. Rafii did not deny this information and did not state that Calzado or Garcia were employees of the Licensee.

Rafii then insisted that the fresh frozen cannabis observed in the freezers did not have blue METRC tags attached because the tags were currently being photocopied. Rafii then stated that the back area of that space, where untagged and unfinished manufactured product was located, was part of the manufacturing area, not the distribution area, and that he had submitted a premises diagram that showed that this was part of the manufacturing area. Rafii also stated that he had received guidance from his lawyer and the DCC that specified to him that he could store manufactured product in that area and pointed to a line on the ground that he stated separated the distribution area from the manufacturing license (**Attachment I**). I explained to Rafii that the most current premises diagram showed that this area was part of the distribution license. I added that I would be requesting those emails and premises diagram records he mentioned, but that in any case, unfinished manufactured product should still be identifiable with METRC tags as required by regulations.

Raffi then stated that the Woodstock brand pre-rolls that were observed upstairs lacked UID information on certain pre-roll units due to the pre-rolls being in the process of being repackaged because the UID information label kept falling off the tubes that were originally tested **(Attachment J).** I asked Rafii if the Licensee had received permission from the DCC to re-package the pre-rolls after regulatory compliance testing had been completed. Rafii stated that he had not received authorization from the DCC. Rafii also stated that they engage in regulatory compliance testing using pre-rolls that have no packaging labels and then add the labels afterwards. I clarified with Rafii to further confirm that the Licensee was not testing pre-rolls in final form, and he confirmed by saying "yes". I later observed that a COA for a Woodstock pre-roll, with UID 1A40603000009D1700003794, pictured the product in a black tube with a white label attached (**Attachment K**).

At this time, I informed Rafii that the non-compliant cannabis and cannabis products identified by DCC staff would have to be addressed by either a VC&D process or an embargo. I explained both options available. Rafii was verbally combative, continuously explaining that the blue METRC tags were simply being photocopied and asked why we could not address the violations with "technical guidance". I continued to explain to Rafii why each observation was non-compliant and reminded him that some of these violations had been addressed through a previously issued Notice to Comply (NTC) (**Attachment L**). I explained that as part of the corrective action, I had received photos on or about October 3, 2024, of the distribution license area having items properly identifiable with METRC tags affixed and that I was unsure why the Licensee's staff had reverted to not tagging the cannabis products accordingly. In addition, the corrective action statements on Table B indicated that Licensee would be complying and ensuring ongoing compliance (**Attachment M**). I overheard manager Sweeny say that he was not sure what happened or why the products were not tagged properly as they were the same products that were there when the DCC had inspected before, referring to a regulatory compliance inspection conducted by the DCC in August 2024. When I heard Sweeny say this, Sweeny was in close proximity and so I asked him why the tags were removed, and he said he didn't know. Despite the repeated explanations as to the non-compliant observations, Rafii continued to express his disagreement but ultimately elected for the non-compliant cannabis items to be placed under embargo. I made sure to clearly explain to Rafii that the Licensee will be given an opportunity to provide information to the DCC that the Licensee feels would help source the cannabis items. I told Rafii that providing the DCC responses to the embargo would not guarantee that the embargo would be lifted.

As we prepared to inventory the non-compliant cannabis and cannabis products for the embargo, Rafii stated that the blue METRC tags that belonged to the fresh frozen cannabis flower in the freezer was suddenly found attached to a walk-in freezer near the distribution area. It was unclear why Rafii insisted that the METRC tags were being photocopied and then were said to be found elsewhere towards the end of the inspection. We explained again that blue METRC tags should be affixed to cannabis products and be easily identifiable to DCC representatives, and that blue METRC tags should essentially "follow the product". Manager Sweeny then laid out multiple paper documents and attempted to sort them out on top of the freezers for several minutes. By this point, DCC staff had been at the licensed premises for approximately 4 to 5 hours. I again explained to Rafii that the Licensee would be given an opportunity to submit any records they felt would help trace and identify the fresh frozen cannabis flower and the other products that were going to be placed under embargo. I also reiterated that we could not guarantee that the embargo would be lifted.

I, with the assistance of DCC staff, began the inventory of the cannabis and cannabis products that would be placed under embargo. We first started with the cannabis products found in the upstairs area of the distribution premises. We inventoried 540 units of Woodstock Live Rosin infused 1-gram pre-rolls (with a pink label) and no UID, 225 Woodstock Live Rosin infused 1 gram Pre-rolls (with a blue label) and no UID, and 540 Woodstock Live Rosin Infused 1-gram pre-rolls (with an orange label) and no UID. Additionally, we inventoried 400 Woodstock Live Rosin 1 gram infused pre-rolls with UID 1A4060300009D17000003794, 360 Woodstock Live Rosin 1 gram infused pre-rolls with UID 1A4060300009D17000003796, and 540 Woodstock 1g Live Rosin Infused Pre-roll with UID 1A4060300009D17000003795, whose physical appearance did not match the COA photo due to the Licensee's repackaging of the product without DCC permission.

We then moved to inventory the cannabis and cannabis products found in the freezers. We weighed the fresh frozen cannabis and it amounted to 357.22 lbs. We then weighed the jars of unfinished manufactured product, with the total weight of the jars being 33.02 lbs. We then counted the Live Oro Dreamzzz shot 10 mg CBN 10 mg THC, UID 1A4060300003F00000003758, and counted 92 boxes containing 12 units per box, for a total of 1,1013 units. We placed all the embargoed items in the downstairs area of the distribution premises and secured them with evidence tape issued by the Department. The items were grouped together on the shelf by Euphoric staff (**Attachment N**).

Before concluding the inspection and departing from the licensed premises, I reviewed the violations observed during the site visit with Rafii but clarified that I would also continue my investigation of the allegations of non-compliant sales and alleged inversion of non-compliant products. I completed the Initial Embargo Notice, reviewed every inventoried line item with Rafii, and had Rafii sign it (**Attachment O**). I offered to provide Rafii a photocopy of the Initial Embargo Notice. Rafii took a photo of both pages of the Initial Embargo Notice. I then explained to Rafii that the Licensee would be getting additional information via email pertaining to the embargo and that the Licensee would be given an opportunity to submit sourcing records the Licensee felt were pertinent to the items embargoed. At approximately 1600 hours, we departed the licensed premises.

On April 30, 2025, at approximately 1447 hours, I contacted Licensee via the primary email contact listed (info@euphoriclife.com) and requested records including registration and insurance information for a list of vehicles that were identified per the Licensee's CCTT METRC account as being used by the Licensee for transportation activities, employment verification records for all of Licensee's employees for the last 30 days, and photos for two vehicles that were truck models Chevy Colorado (License Plate 5753551) and Ford Ranger (License Plate 99032M3). (**Attachment P**) I requested the records be sent to me by May 2, 2025. At approximately 0310 hours, I received a voicemail from Rafii expressing concerns over my records request (**Attachment Q**). I replied to Rafii via email, to please do his best to submit the records to me by the deadline as most of the records involved simply required making photocopies of the documents.

On May 1, 2025, at approximately 1238 hours, I received an email from Nooshin Dalili (Dalili) who identified herself as the legal counsel representing the Licensee. Dalili expressed concern over the reasoning behind my records requests and the timeframe to respond (**Attachment R**). After consulting with ABC Ordaz, I provided the Licensee an extension on the deadline to provide records to May 12, 2025. On May 13, 2025, I observed that multiple records were uploaded to the DCC box link by Rafii.

On May 21, 2025 and May 23,2025, I reviewed the records uploaded by the Licensee. Due to the allegations that the Licensee was engaging in selling and accepting product outside of METRC, I wanted to identify any individuals reported in METRC who were not the Licensee's employees and who either drove the Licensee's vehicles or their own vehicles to transfer cannabis goods on behalf of the Licensee. During my review, I referenced an email from SSI Travis White in which he generated various METRC reports that showed details such as driver and vehicle information for the Licensee **(Attachment S).** I observed that the registration and vehicle insurance records submitted by the Licensee showed that six of the vehicles reported in METRC as being used for transfers were not registered or insured to the Licensee or were registered and insured to individuals that the Licensee could not prove were his employees (**Attachment T**).

Additionally, I requested the Licensee provide records for a list of individuals that were identified in the Licensee's METRC reports as drivers as well as employment verification records for all of Licensee's employees for the last 30 days. The Licensee provided paycheck stub information and other employment records for the all employees except Kristopher Butler, Ron Stephens and Eriela Atilano. The Licensee instead provided a typed written statement indicating that the three individuals were no longer employed as of April 29, 2025 (**Attachment U**). Regarding Eriela Atilano, the Licensee provided a document showing payments allegedly made to "Erielia (Eddie) Atilano" on March 4, 2025 and March 12, 2025, but no other records were provided **(Attachment V).** The Licensee failed to provide adequate documentation to prove that these individuals were authorized to transport goods for the Licensee. Further, the Licensee did not provide any employment documents for John Calzada, the individual who identified himself as a volunteer during the inspection on April 29, 2025. I also observed that the METRC reports listed David Garcia, who identified himself as an employee of a different licensee, Nebula Management LLC (C11-0000325-LIC) during the DCC's inspection on April 29, 2025, as having transported cannabis goods on behalf of the Licensee. However, the Licensee only provided employment records from Nebula Management LLC for Garcia and did not provide any records demonstrating that Garcia was directly employed by the Licensee **(Attachment W).**

I also requested photos for the Chevy Colorado (License Plate 5753551) and Ford Ranger (License Plate 99032M3) to determine how the Licensee was transporting cannabis goods in these models of trucks as the requirement for vehicles is that the cannabis product is secure within the vehicle with no view of the product from the outside through vehicle windows. Being that it is common knowledge that trucks typically don't have enclosed trunks like a sedan model vehicle or enclosed back area like a van, I wanted to confirm that the vehicles were compliant with DCC regulations. On May 13, 2025, Licensee's legal representative Dalili responded via email that photos of the vehicles were not available because the vehicles were leased in the past and the Licensee did not have access to these vehicles any longer (**Attachment X**). However, the registration records and insurance records that were provided by the Licensee showed that the Ford Ranger (License Plate 99032M3) was registered to the Licensee's claimed former employee, Kris Butler. The Licensee's records showed the Chevy Colorado (License Plate 5753551) was registered to an individual named William Wesley Gosa, but was insured by Ron Stephens, who the Licensee also claimed was a former who no longer worked for the Licensee as of April 29, 2025. Additionally, the METRC reports show that Ron Stephens had used the vehicle to transport goods for the Licensee. The records provided by the Licensee demonstrated that the two vehicles were owned or insured by individuals familiar to the Licensee. However, the Licensee was unable to provide the requested photos or any other proof that the trucks met the requirements for transporting cannabis goods.

On June 4, 2025, at approximately 1615 hours, I served the Licensee via email at info@euphoriclife.com with the Supplemental Notice of Embargo that detailed the reasons for the Embargo on April 29, 2025. The supplemental notice included a deadline of June 18, 2025, for the Licensee to provide a response with a plan to address the issues that resulted in the embargo. (**Attachment Y**).

On June 17, 2025, license owner Rafii responded to the supplemental notice via email with the Licensee's plan that addressed the issues detailed in the notice. The Licensee requested to obtain DCC's permission to re-attach the blue METRC tags to the fresh frozen cannabis and to be able to address the Woodstock pre-rolls with a remediation plan to the remediation unit at DCC. On or about July 8, 2025, I met with SSI Lopez to review the plan that licensee had submitted. We reviewed the plan and multiple regulations and agreed that the Licensee did not provide any other information that might help source the fresh frozen cannabis, the unfinished manufactured cannabis product, or the pre-rolls that had no UID information such as video surveillance, METRC data, batch production records or UID information. After reviewing regulations related to remediation, it was determined that the Licensee's request to "remediate" the re-packaged Woodstock pre-rolls without the DCC's approval would not be permitted per the regulations. Licensee requested to destroy the Live Oro Dreamzzz the DCC identified as being non-compliant (**Attachment Z**).

On August 7, 2025, I sent the Licensee via email the DCC's Notice of Embargo Determination stating that the plan submitted by the Licensee did not fully address the issues resulting in the embargo. The DCC did find the Licensee's request to VC&D the Live Oro Dreamzzz shots acceptable and approved the Licensee's request. The DCC did not find the Licensee's requests to merely re-attach METRC blue tags to the fresh frozen cannabis or the remediation of the Woodstock pre-rolls were sufficient to address the embargo and determined the pre-rolls and cannabis must be disposed in accordance with DCC regulations. (**Attachment AA**).

On August 26, 2025, Dalili, on behalf of the Licensee, sent a letter stating that the Licensee did not agree with the determination and requested a review and reconsideration of the DCC's decision (**Attachment BB).**

On September 18, 2028, I responded to the Licensee via email providing them with a deadline to respond by September 18, 2025, 5:00 PM with a destruction plan for the fresh frozen cannabis, unfinished manufactured cannabis products, the Woodstock pre-rolls, and Live Oro Dreamzzz shots under embargo and listed as items 1-16 in the Supplemental Embargo Notice **(Attachment CC).**

On September 18, 2025, the Licensee via its counsel, Dalili, requested a condemnation hearing for the embargoed items **(Attachment DD).**

WITNESS LIST

Witness #1

- Name: Ruby Ocegueda
- Title/Position: Special Investigator
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 1-279-239-0392
- E-mail: ruby.ocegueda@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #2

- Name: Jorge Avila
- Title/Position: Special Investigator
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 1-279-239-0394
- E-mail:jorge.avila@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #3

- Name: Rene Pena-Mancinas
- Title/Position: Special Investigator
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 279-220-08387
- E-mail: rene.pena-mancinas@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #4

- Name: Nephtali Lopez
- Title/Position: Supervising Special Investigator
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 1-916-972-3072
- E-mail: nephtali.lopez @cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #5

- Name: Juan Ordaz
- Title/Position: Assistant Chief
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 916-299-6664
- E-mail: juan.ordaz@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #6
- Name: Nolan Avery
- Title/Position: Environmental Scientist
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
- Phone: 916-926-9365
- E-mail: nolan.avery@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #7
- Name: Long Lai
- Title/Position: Environmental Scientist
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 510-362-4905
- E-mail: long.lai@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #8
- Name: Victoria Araujo-Gonzalez
- Title/Position: Environmental Scientist
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 279-217-3603
- E-mail: victoria.araujo-gonzalez@cannabis.ca.gov

Witness #9
- Name: Rachel Genus
- Title/Position: Associated Governmental Program Analyst
- Address: 2920 Kilgore Rd. Rancho Cordova, CA. 95670
- Phone: 279-217-3649
- E-mail: Rachel.genus@cannabis.ca.gov

PREPARER	
Name Ruby Ocegueda	Title Special Investigator
Signature Ruby Ocegueda Digitally signed by Ruby Ocegueda Date: 2025.10.15 16:37:21 -07'00'	

REVIEWER	
Name Nephtali Lopez	Title Supervising Special Investigator I
Signature Nephtali Lopez	Date October 15, 2025

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

A. Photo of CDL for John Cazada (1 page)
B. Premises Diagram (1 page)
C. Photo of Dark Distro Area/Restrictive Lighting (1 page)
D. Photos of Fresh Frozen Cannabis with Missing Blue UID METRC Tags (4 pages)
E. Photo of Unfinished Manufactured Product in the Distribution Area (1 page)
F. Photos of Live Oro Dreamzzz Shot 10mg CBN THC UID 1A4060300003F000000003758 (3 pages)
G. Photo of CDL For Jose Garcia Nebula Employee (1 page)
H. Woodstock Hybrid Pre-roll Missing UID (2 pages)
I. Separation Line Licensee Indicated Separated the Distro Area and the Manufacturing Area (1 page)

J. Certificate of Analysis Showing UID Tag Hanging Off Pre-roll Tube (Woodstock Pre-roll) (1 page)
K. Certificate of Analysis Showing Licensee Failure to Test Pre-roll in Final Form (1 Page)
L. Notice to Comply Dated 8/27/2025 Showing Repeat Violations (7 pages)
M. Notice to Comply Response Email, Photos, Corrective Statement Submitted 10/3/2024 (9 pages)
N. Photos of Embargoed Product (3 pages)
O. Initial Embargo Notice DCC-3301 (2 pages)
P. Records Request Email Sent to Licensee on April 30, 2025 (3 pages)
Q. Transcript of Licensee Voice Mail Disputing Record Request Deadline (1 page)
R. Email from Council Disputing Record Request (2 pages)
S. Email and METRC Data Gathered by SSI Travis White (6 pages)
T. Vehicle Registration and Insurance Information for Vehicles Used (6 pages)
U. Typed Statements from Licensee Pertaining to Past Employees'/ No Records (3 pages)
V. Pay Rate Information For Eriela (Eddie) Atilano (1 page)
W. Paycheck Stubs for Jose D. Garcia Showing Employment Information (2 pages)
X. Email from Licensee Legal Representatives (3 pages)
Y. Supplemental Notice of Embargo (5 pages)
Z. Email Response to Supplemental Notice of Embargo (4 pages)
AA. Notice of Embargo Determination (2 pages)
BB. Euphoric Life Inc's Response to Department's Notice of Embargo Determination (2 pages)
CC. DCC's Response to Euphoric Life Inc's Objection to Notice of Embargo Determination (2 pages)
DD. Euphoric Life's Request for Condemnation Proceedings (2 pages)