

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NO.: 235818 NAME: Nooshin Dalili FIRM NAME: Dalili Law Group STREET ADDRESS: 1004 Willow Street CITY: San Jose STATE CA ZIP CODE: 95125 TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 288-8686 FAX NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS: Nooshin@dalililaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Euphoric Life Inc.	FOR COURT USE ONLY on 4/14/2026 1:26 PM Reviewed By: B. Roman-Antunez Envelope: 23354741
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First Street MAILING ADDRESS: 191 North First Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113 BRANCH NAME: Downtown Superior Court	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Euphoric Life, Inc. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Department of Cannabis Control, State of California OTHER:	CASE NUMBER: 26CV489817
PROPOSED ORDER (COVER SHEET)	JUDICIAL OFFICER: Hon. Helen E. Williams DEPT: 66

NOTE: This cover sheet is to be used to electronically file and submit to the court a proposed order. The proposed order sent electronically to the court must be in PDF format and must be attached to this cover sheet. In addition, a version of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format must be sent to the court at the same time as this cover sheet and the attached proposed order in PDF format are filed.

1. Name of the party submitting the proposed order:

Petitioner Euphoric Life, Inc., by and through counsel Nooshin Dalili

2. Title of the proposed order:

[Proposed] Order Granting Stay of Enforcement Pending Judicial Review

3. The proceeding to which the proposed order relates is:

- a. Description of proceeding: Ex Parte Application for Stay of Enforcement Pending Judicial Review
- b. Date and time: April 15, 2026 8:15 A.M - 9:15 A.M
- c. Place: Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, 191 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95113

4. The proposed order was served on the other parties in the case.

Nooshin Dalili

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



Nooshin Dalili

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

CASE NAME:

Euphoric Life, Inc. v. Dept. of Cannabis Control

CASE NUMBER:

26CV489817

**PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
PROPOSED ORDER**

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action.**

a. My residence or business address is (*specify*):

1004 Willow Street, San Jose, CA 95125

b. My electronic service address is (*specify*):

Admin@bonnerlegaloffices.com

2. I electronically served the *Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)* with a proposed order in PDF format attached, and a proposed order in an editable word-processing format as follows:

a. On (*name of person served*) (*If the person served is an attorney, the party or parties represented should also be stated.*):

Christopher Lamerdin, Deputy Attorney General, for Respondent Department of Cannabis Control

b. To (*electronic service address of person served*): Christopher.Lamerdin@doj.ca.gov

c. On (*date*): 04/14/2026

☐ Electronic service of the *Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)* with the attached proposed order in PDF format and service of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format on additional persons are described in an attachment.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 4/14/2026

Wendell Bonner

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)

Wendell L. Bonner

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

Print this form

Save this form

Clear this form

NOOSHIN DALILI (SBN 235818)
LAW OFFICES OF NOOSHIN DALILI
1004 WILLOW STREET
SAN JOSE, CA 95125
(408) 288-8686
Attorney for Petitioner

Filed
April 17, 2026
Clerk of the Court
Superior Court of CA
County of Santa Clara
26CV489817
By: broman-antunez

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

EUPHORIC LIFE, INC.,	)	Case No.: 26CV489817
	)	OAH Case No. 2025110826
Petitioner,	)	DCC Case No. DCC25-00004-CON
	)	
v.	)	Date Presented: April 15, 2026
	)	Time: 8:15–9:00 a.m. (Ex Parte Hours)
DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	)	Department: 66
STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	)	
	)	DENYING
Respondent.	)	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
	)	STAY OF ENFORCEMENT
	)	PENDING JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Ex Parte Application of Petitioner Euphoric Life, Inc. for a Stay of Enforcement Pending Judicial Review having come before this Court, and the Court having considered the moving papers and declarations filed in support thereof, and the opposition and accompanying request for judicial notice, which is granted,

~~The Court finds that a stay of enforcement of the Final Decision is not against the public interest. The embargoed cannabis inventory has been under embargo since the initial embargo on April 29, 2025, followed by the Supplemental Notice of Embargo, Hold, and Corrective Action on June 4, 2025, and remains in frozen storage at Petitioner's licensed facility, and is not entering the commercial stream. No safety, contamination, or diversion issue was identified as the basis for condemnation. A stay preserves the status quo and the Court's ability to grant meaningful relief.~~

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1 1. Enforcement of the Final Decision of the Department of Cannabis Control, adopted March 6,
2 2026, including the order of destruction and the cost award of \$35,965.65, is stayed pending de-
3 termination of Petitioner's Verified Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus or further or-
4 der of this Court.

5
6 2. The embargoed cannabis inventory shall remain under embargo at Petitioner's licensed facility
7 and shall not be sold, distributed, processed, or otherwise transferred pending resolution of this
8 matter.

9
10 3. Petitioner shall maintain the embargoed inventory in its current frozen-storage conditions and
11 shall not alter, move, or dispose of the embargoed material without prior Court approval.

12
13 4. Respondent Department of Cannabis Control shall take no action to enforce the destruction
14 order or the cost award pending resolution of this matter or further order of this Court.

15
16 5. This order shall remain in effect until further order of the Court.

17 *** see below

18 Dated: April 17, 2026

19
20 
Helen E. Williams

21 Judge of the Superior Court Helen E. Williams

22 ***The court concludes that it lacks jurisdiction to proceed under Business &
23 Professions Code section 26045, which is not limited in application or scope by
24 the provisions of section 26043, as petitioner argues.

25 The ex parte application is accordingly denied.
26
27
28